

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

| | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Family Name | Benson |
| Given Name | Brian |
| Person ID | 1286065 |
| Title | Stakeholder Submission |
| Type | Web |
| Family Name | Benson |
| Given Name | Brian |
| Person ID | 1286065 |
| Title | JPA 36: Pocket Nook |
| Type | Web |
| Soundness - Positively prepared? | NA |
| Soundness - Justified? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Consistent with national policy? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Effective? | Unsound |
| Compliance - Legally compliant? | NA |
| Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate? | NA |
| Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. | <p>Further development in this area feeds into some of the worst traffic problems in the whole of the GM region. On top of this there is no provision for local infrastructure. No additional schools, doctors, dentists.</p> <p>Three generations of REDACTED TEXT family have farmed this land. Since 2013 several letters have been sent to the council stating the land is not for sale. However, they insist on including it in their plans.</p> <p>The land is top grade farming land and provides crops every year. It is also home to red leg partridge and field hares.</p> <p>Andy Burnham at a public meeting in Leigh library stated that there would be no compulsory purchase of land for housing if people don't want to sell other arrangements would have to be made. REDACTED TEXT dose not want to sell but Wigan council continue to threaten compulsory purchase using the excuse that it would be for employment infrastructure that will be lost to HS2 even though it is unlikely to go ahead.</p> <p>There are many places in Wigan that is not good farming land, for instance land between Golborne and Abram untouched for years.</p> <p>Please remove these plans and rethink where to build. The government inspector told Wigan council to only build 1000 house in Golborne and Lowton to date 1300 have been given permission. What's the use of having government inspections if the council just ignore him?</p> <p>Andy Burnham stood by our side at the at the 2013 inspection stating that Lowton could not take the 3000 houses Wigan had planned for our area.</p> <p>The government inspector agreed.</p> |
| Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to | As stated above, please remove these plans and rethink where to build. The government inspector told Wigan council to only build 1000 house in Golborne and Lowton to date 1300 have been given permission. What's the use of having government inspections if the council just ignore him? |

make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.